BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL PAX CENTER
JUN 8 # 2005

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040 Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301 www.beyerlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

June 8, 2005

Receiver:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TEL #:

FAX#:

(703) 872-9306

Sender:

Susan W. Xu for Ramin Mahboubian

Our Ref. No.: SUN1P831

Re:

Application No. 09/886,454

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): (04)

MESSAGE:

Sir:

Please deliver the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form for the above referenced application to Examiner Satish Rampuria.

Please enter this in the file.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE	UNITED ST.	ATES PATEN	T AND TRADE	EMARK OF	FICE	
In re application of: Sokolov et al.			Attorney Docket No.: SUN1P831/P6164			
Application No.: 09/886,454			Examiner: RAMPURIA, SATISH			
Filed: June 20, 2001			Group: 2191		RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER	ı
Title: REPRESENTATION OF OBJECTS IN A JAVA PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENT			Confirmation	<u> </u>	JUN 8 8 2005	•
3224111110			CERTIFICATE OF I hereby certify that this of facsimile to fax number 7 Trademark Office on Jun	703-872-9306 of the	eing transmitted by	
			Signed:	Nu Ulu- Susan W. Xu	-	
APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM						
• ~ -						
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450						;
Tentative Participants:						
 R. Mahboubian 			2) 4)			
Proposed Date of Interview: June 20, 2005 Proposed Time: 1:00 PM (Eastern Time)						
Type of Interview Requested:						
Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If yes, provide brief description:						
		ISSUES TO B	E DISCUSSED			
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
1) 103	Claims 5	Crelier and Brown et al.	. 🗆			
2)			. 🗆			
3)			L	L.J	ب	

SUN1P831/P6164

1 of 3

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

(a) Crelier does NOT teach or suggest an object representation that includes an <u>array</u> of references such that <u>each</u> reference <u>references an instance</u> field of the object being represented (claim 5)

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has NOT even addressed this feature in the Final Office Action as the Examiner has merely alleged that "a method table 420, in turn, includes a list of methods for the objects of the class" (Final Office Action, page 5). Clearly, a list of methods does NOT teach or even remotely suggest an array of references that each references an instance field of an object. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that *Crelier* does NOT teach or suggest this feature.

(b) The combination of *Crelier* and *Brown* do NOT teach or suggest an object representation that includes a first reference to an internal class representation that includes a header of predetermined size which is immediately followed by a method table (claim 5)

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner has admitted that *Crelier* does NOT teach an internal class representation which includes a header of a predetermined size (Final Office Action, page 9). Nevertheless, the Examiner has asserted that *Crelier* teaches that the method table is allocated immediately after the header. Clearly, the Examiner's rejection is improper as it would be impossible for *Crelier* to teach that a method table is allocated immediately after the <u>header</u> in the internal class representation when admittently *Crelier* does NOT teach internal class representation which includes the <u>header</u>. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that the combination of *Crelier* and *Brown* do NOT teach or suggest these features.

(c) Crelier does NOT teach or suggest an object representation that includes a <u>direct</u> reference to an internal class representation (claim 8)

It is respectfully submitted that this feature has NOT been addressed in the Final Office Action. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that *Crelier* does NOT teach or suggest this feature.

5. (Proposed Amended) A computer readable medium as recited in claim 1, including computer program code for an object representation of an object inside a virtual machine and suitable for use by said virtual machine, said computer readable medium comprising:

computer program code for a first reference to an internal class representation inside said virtual machine, wherein said internal class representation is associated with said object, and wherein said first reference can be used to invoke one more methods associated with said object;

computer program code for a second reference to instance fields of said object which is represented by said object representation inside said virtual machine, wherein said second reference can be used to access one or more instance fields of said object at runtime;

computer program code for a hash key that can be used to identify the object, wherein said hash key is the memory address of said first reference;

wherein said second reference is a reference to an array of references, wherein each reference in said array of references is a reference to an instance field associated with said object; and

wherein said internal class representation includes a header of a predetermined size, and wherein a method table associated with said Java object is allocated immediately after said header.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed be applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of
the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delay from issue because of
applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to
file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFT 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant	'Applicant's	Representative)
Signature)		

(Examiner/SPE Signature)